

AUG 24 2006

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

ISLAM AHMAD SULIMAN, aka Issam
Ahmad Suliman Abu Laila,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 05-10234

D.C. No. CR-02-00037-RLH

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Nevada
Roger L. Hunt, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 21, 2006**

Before: GOODWIN, REINHARDT and BEA, Circuit Judges.

Islam Ahmad Suliman appeals from the 56-month sentence reimposed on remand following his guilty plea conviction for fraud and related activity in connection with identification, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1028(a)(3), fraud and

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

related activity in connection with access devices, in violation of 18 U.S.C.

§ 1029(a)(3), and making a false statement in the application and use of a passport,

in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1542. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C.

§ 1291, and we affirm.

Suliman contends that this 56-month sentence is unreasonable. The record demonstrates that the district court fully understood Suliman's requests for a lower sentence and its authority to grant them. A review of the record reveals that appellant's contentions are without merit and the sentence is not unreasonable.

See United States v. Plouffe, 445 F.3d 1126, 1131 (9th Cir. 2006).

AFFIRMED.